<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	HAKAN YUCESOY, et al., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs,  v.  UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and TRAVIS KALANICK,	
20 21 22	v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Defendant.	DEFENDANTS UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC. AND TRAVIS KALANICK'S STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
19	Plaintiffs,	
18	DOUGLAS O'CONNOR, et al., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	CASE NO. 13-cv-03826-EMC CASE NO. 15-cv-00262-EMC
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16		
15		
14	and TRAVIS KALANICK	
13	Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	
12	Telephone: 415.393.8200 Facsimile: 415.393.8306	
10 11	555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921	
9	SHAILEY JAIN, SBN 299213 sjain@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP	
8	PÉTER C. SQUERI, SBN 286249 psqueri@gibsondunn.com	
7	JOSHUA S. LIPSHUTZ, SBN 242557 jlipshutz@gibsondunn.com	
6	Facsimile: 213.229.7520	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197 Telephone: 213.229.7000	
4	dmanthripragada@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue	
3	tboutrous@gibsondunn.com THEANE EVANGELIS, SBN 243570 tevangelis@gibsondunn.com DHANANJAY S. MANTHRIPRAGADA, SBN 254433	
2		
1	THEODORE J. BOUTROUS JR., SBN 132099	

Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. ("Uber") and Travis Kalanick (collectively, "Defendants") support Plaintiffs' request for preliminary approval of the proposed class action settlement because the settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. Defendants also agree to provisional certification of the proposed class, and the filing of amended complaints, for settlement purposes only. While Defendants deny all of Plaintiffs' claims in these consolidated actions, deny any and all allegations of wrongdoing, fault, liability, or damage of any kind to Plaintiffs and the class, and vigorously dispute that they have engaged in any actionable conduct, they have agreed to resolve the cases to avoid the expenses, uncertainties, delays, and other risks inherent in continued litigation. In particular, Defendants reserve all of their objections to class certification for litigation purposes, and do not consent to certification of the proposed class for any purpose other than to effectuate the settlement.

Defendants respectfully request that the Court enter the Proposed Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Approval of Settlement.

DATED: March 11, 2019

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

By: \_

/s/ Theane Evangelis
Theane Evangelis

Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and TRAVIS KALANICK

--

\_\_